	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/696,900	WILSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian Whiteman	1635
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>After non-final</u>		
(1) <u>Brian Whiteman</u> .	(3)	
(2) Cathy Kodroff.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 August 2006	Time: <u>2:00 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: obvious double patenting		
Claims discussed: 9,10,17-22		
Prior art documents discussed: US 6,759,237		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/696,900

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed amending claim 11 to overcome a potential 112 first paragraph rejection and claim ojection. Discussed amending claims 18-20 to overcome potential 112 first paragraph rejection. Discussed renumbering claims 9 and 10. Applicant will file a terminal disclaimer to overcome the odp rejection over 6,759,237.